Objective Personality

Classification using machine learning

Agenda

- Personality psychology
- Pseudoscientific Personality types (Astrology, Temperaments, Jung, Enneagram, Socionics, DISC, MBTI)
- Subjective vs Objective personality
- Objective Personality
- Typing
- Applications
- Goals

Personality psychology


 Personality psychology is a branch of psychology that studies individual differences in patterns of thinking, feeling, and behaving.

Fundamental questions

- Where do similarities in the behaviour of different people come from?
- Where do the differences in one person's behaviour come from?
- Is behaviour intentional or is it reactive?
- How can behaviour be accurately predicted?
- Is behaviour governed by conscious or unconscious forces?
- Where do disorders come from?
- What is a disorder and what is a strong trait?
- At what period of life do the mechanisms of personality functioning develop?
- What is mental maturity?

Personality vs personality types/traits

- Personality > Personality type. There are 8 billion personalities, but only a small (e.g. 16) number of personality types.
- "a personality trait[type] is a characteristic pattern of thinking, feeling, or behaving that tends to be consistent over time and across relevant situations" ~ Soto, C.J.



There are countless Personality Theories


- **Hippocratic Temperaments** (circa 400 B.C.): Based on ancient Greek medicine, Hippocrates proposed that personality traits were based on four bodily fluids or "humors": **sanguine** (red), **choleric** (yellow), **melancholic** (black), and **phlegmatic** (green).
- Analytical Psychology (Carl Jung) (early 20th century): Carl Jung, a student of Freud, introduced concepts like introversion/extroversion, collective unconscious, and psychological functions, forming a basis for later personality typologies.
- Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) (1962): Developed by Katharine Cook Briggs and her daughter Isabel Briggs Myers, this typology is based on Jung's theory, categorizing personalities into 16 types based on four dichotomies: Introversion/ Extraversion, Sensing/Intuition, Thinking/Feeling, and Judging/Perceiving.
- Enneagram of Personality (1970s) Popularized in the 1970s by Oscar Ichazo and Claudio Naranjo, the Enneagram describes nine personality types, each with unique paths to psychological development.

More: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Four-temperaments#Modern-views, implementations and restatements

Date (c.)	Author	Choleric temperament	Phlegmatic temperament	Sanguine temperament	Melancholic temperament		
2022	Brain-Quadrant Unifying Theory of Personality Types and Societal Roles ^[31]	Left-Front Quadrant, Decide/Direct, People Directors	Left-Rear Quadrant, Analyze/Inform, Plan Directors	Right-Front Quadrant, Create/Initiate, Plan Explorers	Right-Rear Quadrant Relate/Respond, People Helpers		
2015	Octopus Temperament (Sy Montgomery)	Assertive	Curious	Joyful	Gentle		
2014	нисмі	Controlling	Analytical	Experimental	Relational		
2006	Berens	Stabilizer (SJ)	Theorists (NT)	Improvisor (SP)	Catalyst (NF)		
1999/2001	Linda V. Berens' four Interaction Styles	In Charge	Chart the Course	Get Things Going	Behind the Scenes		
1999	StrengthsFinder	Striving (Executing)	Thinking (Strategic Thinking)	Impacting (Influencing)	Relating (Relationships)		
1998 (Erikson's behavior types are a 2014 revision)	Hartman Personality Profile	Red (Leaders; Bold & Brash)	Blue (Keen Minds; Analytical & Detail- oriented)	Yellow (Social Butterflies; Creative & Optimistic)	White > Green (Most Selfless; Relaxed, Friendly, & Loyal)		
1996	Tony Alessandra Personality Styles	Director	Thinker	Socializer	Relater		
1989	Benziger	Logic & Results	Process & Routine	Vision & Creativity	Intuition & Empathy		
1978, 1988	Keirsey/Bates four temperaments (old), Keirsey's four temperaments	Epimethean (Dutiful) > Guardian (SF)	Promethean (Technological) > Rational (NT)	Dionysian (Artful) > Artisan (SP)	Apollonian (Soulful) > Idealist (NF)		
1973/74	Conflict	Competing	Accommodating	Collaborating	Avoiding		
1967	Dreikurs' four mistaken goals	Power or Defiance	Revenge or Retaliation	Undue Attention or Service	Inadequacy or Deficiency		
	Reimann	Obsessive	Schizoid	Hysterical	Depressed		
1960s	Stuart Atkins LIFO's four Orientations to Life	Controlling-Taking	Conserving-Holding	Adapting-Dealing	Supporting-Giving		
	David Merrill, "Social Styles"	Driving	Analytical	Expressive	Amiable		
1958	Myers' Jungian types	Judging (J); "Practical & Matter of Fact"	Thinking (T); "Logical & Ingenious"	Perceiving (P); "Enthusiastic & Insightful"	Feeling (F); "Sympathetic & Friendly"		
1948, 1957, 1987	California Psychological Inventory CPI 260	Leader/Implementer (Alphas)	Supporter (Betas)	Innovator (Gammas)	Visualizer (Deltas)		
1947	Eysenck	High Extraversion, High Neuroticism (Unstable- Extraverted)	Low Extraversion, Low Neuroticism (Stable-Introverted)	High Extraversion, Low Neuroticism (Stable- Extraverted)	Low Extraversion, High Neuroticism (Unstable-Introverted		
1947	Fromm's four orientations	Exploitative (Taking)	Hoarding (Preserving)	Marketing (Exchanging)	Receptive (Accepting		

	orientations		(Preserving)	(Exchanging)		
1935, 1966	Alfred Adler's four Styles of Life, Temperament by LaHaye	Ruling/Dominant (Choleric)	Getting/Leaning (Phlegmatic)	Socially Useful (Sanguine)	Avoiding (melancholic)	
1928, 1970s	William Marston and John G. Geier DISC assessment	Dominance (D); Red	Conscientiousness (C); Yellow	Influence (I); Green	Steadiness (S); Blue	
1921	Jung	Intuition	Thinking	Sensation	Feeling	
1920s	Pavlov	Angry Dogs (High Excitation, Low Inhibition)	"Accepting" Dogs (feel asleep) (Low Excitation, High Inhibition)	High-spirited Dogs (High Excitation, High Inhibition)	"Weak" Dogs (whiny) (Low Excitation, Low Inhibition)	
1920	Kretschmer's four character styles	Depressive		Hypomanic	Hyperesthetic (oversensitive)	
1914	Spranger's four* value attitudes	Economic/Political	Theoretical	Aesthetic	Religious/Social	
1905	Adickes' four world views	Traditional	Agnostic (Skeptical)	Innovative	Dogmatic (Doctrinaire)	
1894	Sasang	So-Yang (SY; Little Yang); Active (Unstable & Active)	Tae-Eum (TE; Big Yin); Organized (Stable & Passive)	Tae-Yang (TY; Big Yang); Originative (Stable & Active)	So-Eum (SE; Little Yang); Conservative (Unstable & Passive)	
1798	Kant's four temperaments	Energetic & Emotional (Choleric)	Weak & Balanced (Phlegmatic)	Energetic & Balanced (Sanguine)	Weak & Emotional (Melancholic)	
1550	Paracelsus' four totem spirits	Gnomes (Industrious & Guarded)	Sylphs (Curious & Calm)	salamanders (Impulsive & Changeable)	Nymphs (Inspiring & Passionate)	
185 AD	Irenaeus' four temperaments	Historical	Scholarly	Spontatneous	Spiritual	
325 BC	Aristotle's four sources of happiness	Propraieteri (Acquiring Assets)	Dialogike (Logical Investigation)	Hedone (Sensual Pleasure)	Ethikos (Moral Value)	
325 BC	Aristotle's social order	Pistic (Common sense & Care- taking)	Dianoetic (Reasoning & Logical Investigator)	Iconic (Artistic & Art-making)	Noetic (Intuitive, Sensibility, Morality)	
340 BC	Plato's four characters	Sensible	Reasoning	Artistic	Intuitive	
307 BC	Hippocrates' four humours	Blood (Hot and Wet)	Phlegm (Cold and Wet)	Yellow Bile (Hot and Dry)	Black Bile (Cold and Dry)	
450 BC	Empedocles	Fire (Zeus)	Water (Pluto/Nestis)	Air (Hera)	Earth (Perephone/Aidoneus)	
590 BC	Ezekiel's four living creatures	Lion (Bold)	Ox (Sturdy)	Eagle (Far- seeing)	Man (Spiritual)	

Adapted and modified from: Montgomery, Stephen (2002). People Patterns: A Modern Guide to the Four Temperaments (1st ed.). Archer Publications. p. 20 . ISBN 1-885705-03-4.; Keirsey, David (May 1, 1998) [1978]. Please Understand Me II: Temperament, Character, Intelligence (1st ed.). Prometheus Nemesis Book Co. ISBN 1-885705-02-6.



People want easy and quick answers

- Personality types tests are easy and popular. You take a test and get a type. If you like it, you believe it's your type. If you don't like it, you can take another test, until you get the one you like.
- It's like catching Pokemons "What did you get? Mine is better! Yea!"

But none of them is scientific

Pseudo-Scientific Personality Theories	Scientific Personality Theories
Lack of testable hypothesis	Testable and falsifiable hypothesis
Inconsistent results	Consistent and replicable results
Based on anecdotal evidence or personal experience	Objective data and research evidence
Lack of empirical support	Strong empirical support
Lack of reliability and validity	High reliability and validity
Speculative or unproven theories	Well established theories and concepts
Lack of peer-review and scientific scrutiny	Subject to scientific scrutiny
Not accepted in the scientific community	Accepted in the scientific community


Objective Personality Scientific approach

- While other systems have **self-assessment tests** or one person typing another. They may be wrong or right. Who knows... There is **no falsifiable statement**.
- Objective Personality (OP) is different, there is no test. The person's subjective point of view doesn't matter.
- In OP at least two operators type a third person independently without influencing each other.
- To type someone we need them to talk unconsciously about what is inside their head.
 OP operators are observing:
 - What is obvious to you? What are you obligated to do? What are you responsible for?
 - What do you try to avoid? What are you not obligated to do? What would you like to delegate? What would you like to do once and never ever again?
 - Compared to other people, what is the person doing more/less than average?

Objective Typing

OP operator throws you a ball, or gives you weights and observes which hand is more preferred, instinctive, faster, or more developed.





Operator's Elimination tool

Temp IxxP		Let	ters	Anir	mals	Sexual FF		
		N	IF	s	С			
Ex	хP	N	T -	С	P	FM MF MM		
lx	хJ	S	F	В	S			
Ex	xJ	S	T	Р	В			
Di	Oi	S	Т	S	В	De-F	De-M	
Oe	De	F	N	С	Р	S-F	S-M	
00	DD	Fi	Fe	SCB/P	CPS/B	T	V	
		Те	Ti	BPS/C	PBC/S	Α	K	
Pr	Sc	Ni	Ne			Ct	Dg	
Fr	Wk	Se	Si			Service Touch	Words Time	

	Wire			Sav	Anim		Func	-	Sav	Anim
		-								
	-		-					, ,		
								-		



Objective Personality Validity

- Operators guess other operators' guesses -> testable and falsifiable. Do we get the same result? Yes or No.
- Inter-rater Reliability. Can two independent raters agree on their assessments? Yes, people get very similar results. 90% agreement.
- Right type = predictability. When do we know that we typed someone right? When we get the predictability. When we can predict his answers, struggles, preferences and life discoveries.
- This ships personality typing from something speculative, opinionbased, and subjective, to something objective that can be systematised and replicated.

Objective Personality

Inter-rater Reliability

- OP uses 11 binary coins, you can be either A or B
- In OP there are 11 binary coins, $2^{11} = 2048$ types
- . The probability of independently finding the same type is $\frac{1}{2048^n}$ where n is the number of operators.

Inter-rater Reliability

Typist	Coins correct	Average coins correct	Typings	Spot	1 coin off	2 coins off	3 coins off	3 coins off or less	Position
Guy Linares	49	9.80	5	4	1	0	0	5	1
Neilda Franklin	49	9.80	5	4	1	0	0	5	1
Daniel Torres	46	9.20	5	2	2	1	0	5	3
Eric Steacy	43	8.60	5	2	2	0	0	4	4
MaggieLee	42	8.40	5	2	0	2	0	4	5
Ryan Whiteman	42	8.40	5	0	3	1	1	5	5
Ben Kramer	41	8.20	5	0	2	2	1 -	5	7
Habib Khan	41	8.20	5	0	2	2	1	5	7
Dawson Jones	40	8.00	5	0	3	1	0	4	9
Michelle Coe	40	8.00	5	2	2	0	0	4	9
Rochelle Currier	40	8.00	5	1	2	0	0	3	9
Tosho Micevski	40	8.00	5	1	1	0	3	5	9
Chase Peterson	39	7.80	5	1	2	0	1	4	13
Karin S.	39	7.80	5	0	2	1	1	4	13
Luan	39	7.80	5	0	3	0	0	3	13
Xavier Guillaume	39	7.80	5	1	1	1	1	4	13
Al-Qaid Akbar	38	7.60	5	2	1	0	1	4	17
Andrizzle	38	7.60	5	0	2	0	2	4	17
Anicet	38	7.60	5	0	2	2	0	4	17
Erin Brewer	38	7.60	5	0	1	1	3	5	17




Empirical Evidence

Typing people with scientific rigour results in empirical evidence

- People of the same type share:
 - Biggest fears, triggers, life struggles
 - Obvious solutions to problems
 - Life lessons, discoveries, TED-talk main theme
- But also:
 - Facial features
 - Disorders
 - Health problems
 - Sexual preferences
- OP claim to track genetics

Type twins



Type Number 25 Over 50% of the males are LGBT.







Tyler Oakley 25D



Michael Buckley 25D

Type twins M MF ENFP CP



Type twins M MF INTJ SBPC



Bronson Pinchot

Chris D'Elia

Dave Powers

Lin-Manuel Miranda



Michael Cohen

Todd Phillips

Vincent Cassel

Ari Melber

Type twins INFJ SB






Rajiv Satyal



John Lennon



Jesus Christ



Bob Odenkirk



Dr Drew



Casey Neistat



Enzo Ferrari



Geoffrey Hinton



Jaleel White



Novak Djokovic



Sadhguru



Victor Frankl



Andrew Tate



Frank James



Tony Hsieh



Apollo Robbins




Mike Chang

Type twins M FF ENTP C

Adam Savage

Chip Conley



Jacob Collier

Jordan Peterson

Marc Andreessen

Michio Kaku

Type twins F ESFJ FF







Kristi Hust



Paula Deen



Candace Cameron Bure



Jess McCabe



Anna Edit



Dana Linn Bailey



Keri Russell



Malin Akerman



Rachel Weisz




Katie Couric



Nichole Kellerman

Type twins FF ESFP C

Greg Plitt




James Charles

Jay Park

Manny Mua

Type twins MM ESTP C



Anna Campbell

Click for Taz

Leah Remini

Michelle Rodriguez

Victoria Donelda

Eddie Hall

Type twins FF INTP S



Binary coins

Where are your imbalances

Stuck on info & pathways, not stuck on others point of view Stuck on people, judgment, fairness, not stuck on missing info Me-story, what I want, I'm allowed, leaves the tribe behind We-story, drags in others, void in what they want, not allowed Has a way, same story, concludes, narrows, shoves away new Channel change, we'll see, wants control - but doesn't Summarizing, categories, abstract, void in supporting facts Proving, gives facts, grounded, not jumping or summarizing Values, likes, hates, weak reasons, won't make it work Works, get it done, logic, reasons, unowned emotions Same story about self, processed, resolved, won't jump in Random story about others, unresolved, won't hit the brakes Random story about self, takes you along, trails off, not ready Same story about others, lessons, jumps in, overextended Balance in learning and sharing info, works/rests in swings Balance in work and rest, learns/talks in swings Always "kicked" by the tribe to talk and move, outbursts later Always tiring out self and tribe, then crashes later

	Di/Oi	Sleep		Di/Oe Consume			De/Oi Blast				De/Oe Play				
SC/B(P)	SC/P(B)	SB/C(P)	SB/P(C)	C S /B(P)	CS/P(B)	CP/S(B)	C P /B(S)	B S /C(P)	BS/P(C)	BP/S(C)	BP/C(S)	PC/S(B)	PC/B(S)	P B /S(C)	PB/C(S)
Fi/Ni	Fi/Ni	Fi/Ni	Fi/Ni	Fi/Ne	Fi/Ne	Fi/Ne	Fi/Ne	Ni/Fe	Ni/Fe	Ni/Fe	Ni/Fe	Fe/Ne	Fe/Ne	Fe/Ne	Fe/Ne
Fi/Si	Fi/Si	Fi/Si	Fi/Si	Fi/Se	Fi/Se	Fi/Se	Fi/Se	Ni/Te	Ni/Te	Ni/Te	Ni/Te	Fe/Se	Fe/Se	Fe/Se	Fe/Se
Ni/Fi	Ni/Fi	Ni/Fi	Ni/Fi	Ti/Ne	Ti/Ne	Ti/Ne	Ti/Ne	Si/Fe	Si/Fe	Si/Fe	Si/Fe	Ne/Fe	Ne/Fe	Ne/Fe	Ne/Fe
Ni/Ti	Ni/Ti	Ni/Ti	Ni/Ti	Ti/Se	Ti/Se	Ti/Se	Ti/Se	Si/Te	Si/Te	Si/Te	Si/Te	Ne/Te	Ne/Te	Ne/Te	Ne/Te
Si/Fi	Si/Fi	Si/Fi	Si/Fi	Ne/Fi	Ne/Fi	Ne/Fi	Ne/Fi	Fe/Ni	Fe/Ni	Fe/Ni	Fe/Ni	Se/Fe	Se/Fe	Se/Fe	Se/Fe
Si/Ti	Si/Ti	Si/Ti	Si/Ti	Ne/Ti	Ne/Ti	Ne/Ti	Ne/Ti	Fe/Si	Fe/Si	Fe/Si	Fe/Si	Se/Te	Se/Te	Se/Te	Se/Te
Ti/Ni	Ti/Ni	Ti/Ni	Ti/Ni	Se/Fi	Se/Fi	Se/Fi	Se/Fi	Te/Ni	Te/Ni	Te/Ni	Te/Ni	Te/Ne	Te/Ne	Te/Ne	Te/Ne
Ti/Si	Ti/Si	Ti/Si	Ti/Si	Se/Ti	Se/Ti	Se/Ti	Se/Ti	Te/Si	Te/Si	Te/Si	Te/Si	Te/Se	Te/Se	Te/Se	Te/Se

Observers

Deciders

Processing people, judgment, respect, fairness, deciding, doing. People are either good or bad. Not stuck with things, accepts bad in control and chaos.

"Why are people so messed up?!"

"Who am I? Who do I want to become?"

"I did this and I did everything right and they were wrong!"

"I wanted to help her, but she called me a monster"

"I don't understand why he did that..."

"Ye, politics, it's a mess but w/e, did you see her? She looked at me like I was an idiot.

"She is weird... I don't get why she did that to me..."

"I know what they should do, if only they would listen to me..."

"They judged me" "It's unfair! They shouldn't do that!"

"I was so hurt" "I was a good guy and he is the bad guy"

"She was so mean to me..."

Tell us a story about what frustrated you this week

Observers

Processing things, missing information, categories, events, timelines, pathways.

Not suck with people, accepts bad in self and others

Observers fear someone is playing a magic trick on them

One fake news means all the news are fake. One bad school means educational system is screwed up. We can not see the earth being rounded therefore the earth is flat. Government hides some information, therefore they are hiding all the information. They are controlling us, we are living in a Truman Show.

"I don't have any friends, I'm quiet lonely, I guess I'm a jerk. w/e I like myself... Anyway, have you seen the last update?"

Ye people, whatever... but did you see the news?!

"It is weird! I don't understand why it work that way"

"The food order was wrong" "I got lost on the parking lot"

I just wanted to login to PayPal but the Captcha... Which one is the bus? It's a white van! I'm not a robot!

"I updated my phone and now all my settings are gone!!!"

"I just wanted to do X but the paperwork..."

Deciders

Processing people, jud deciding, doing. People Not stuck with things, a

"Why are people so messed up?

"Who am I? Who do I want to bed

"I did this and I did everything rig

"I wanted to help her, but she calle

"I don't understand why he did that

"Ye, politics, it's a mess but w/e, di She looked at me like I was

"She is weird... I don't get why she

"I know what they should do, if only

"They judged me" "It's unfair! T

"I was so hurt" "I was a good guy


"She was so mean to me..."

Tell us a story about what frustrated you this week

What does it mean to be stuck with people?

Observers have easier puzzles to solve when it comes to people's problems and balancing rational thinking vs feelings


Observers Deciders



What does it mean to be stuck with things?

Deciders have easier puzzles to solve when it comes to things, control, missing information and connecting present vs future

Observers Deciders




What does it mean to be stuck?

Simple and intuitive. Can solve it alone. Fast processing.

	9	3	1	5	6	4	
7							5
7 5 2		1	2	9	3		7
2							3
	3	6	9	7	5	2	
9							1
9 3 6		2	4	8	1		9
6							4
	4	7	3	2	8	5	

A lot of assumptions. Has to talk it out loud. Trials and errors. Takes time to solve.



De

Di

Me story, what I want, I'm allowed, leaves the tribe behind

"Let me think about it (ask myself)"

"I think that this is X and therefore Y"

"I became a basketball player, I always loved basketball."

"I wake up and feel X, eat something, and then go to work, and then work for Y hours, then I do something else, and then when I feel Z then I go to sleep."

How does your typical day looks like?

De

Ji

We-story, drags in others, void in what they want, not allowed

"Let me ask them"

"... Can I say it that way?"

"My parents and my friends always told me that I have to find a good job. I always wanted to be an basketball player, nobody believed in me but I showed them that I can."

"We wake up with my husband, prepare breakfast for my family, and then we go to work, my husband does X and my kids do Y, they like doing it because..., then I go to work and talk with John and have a meeting with Dave we are working together he is..."

Me story, what I wa

"Let me think about it

"I think that this is X ar

"I became a basketba

"I wake up and feel X, e and then work for Y ho then when I f

How does your typical day looks like?

What does it mean to be Di?




- Does it make sense to me? Do I value it?
- Do I like it? Does it work for me?
- Who do I want to become?
- My preferences, my ideas, my goals.

What does it mean to be De?



- How do others feel, value, and like? What are their preferences?
- Does it work for others? Do they feel ok?
- What are their ideas? How do I help others?



shoves away new

Some, Info, Narrows, Conclusion, Stacks known info, Conclusion, Lesson, Lesson...

One romantic partner, got married too quickly, stayed in the same job all my life, bought a house too quickly, afraid to earn more, afraid of uncertainty

I have a routine, I do basically the same thing every day

Step by step, explains everything step by step, chain of information

"I wake up, look at my phone, then go to kitchen, then prepare breakfast for my family, then talk with my husband, then, then at 14 got for a lunch, then ..., then at 21 I go to workout, ... then at 23 go to sleep"

How does your typical day looks like?

Oe

Channel change, we'll see, wants control - but doesn't

Hard time narrowing down, easily bored, no conclusions, pointless informations, gathers too long

Obligated to gather the spectrum of info, ideas and experiences, before allowed to organise and stabilise

Channel change, Tangents on tangents, openness, opportunities

"I don't know enough. I have to read about it more."

"Random info, random info, bla bla bla, anyway, other random info, bla bla... see you!"

"I wake up, then go to kitchen, BTW did you know what fridges are...."

Oi

Has a way, same st shove

Some, info, narrows dow conclusion

One romantic partner, m same job, bought hous more, sc

I have a routine, I do eve Step by step, explains ev

"I wake up, look at my prepare breakfast for my f then, then at 14 got for workout, ... th

How does your typical day looks like?

Binary coins

Where are your imbalances

Stuck on info & pathways, not stuck on others point of view Stuck on people, judgment, fairness, not stuck on missing info Me-story, what I want, I'm allowed, leaves the tribe behind We-story, drags in others, void in what they want, not allowed Has a way, same story, concludes, narrows, shoves away new Channel change, we'll see, wants control - but doesn't Summarizing, categories, abstract, void in supporting facts Proving, gives facts, grounded, not jumping or summarizing Values, likes, hates, weak reasons, won't make it work Works, get it done, logic, reasons, unowned emotions Same story about self, processed, resolved, won't jump in Random story about others, unresolved, won't hit the brakes Random story about self, takes you along, trails off, not ready Same story about others, lessons, jumps in, overextended Balance in learning and sharing info, works/rests in swings Balance in work and rest, learns/talks in swings Always "kicked" by the tribe to talk and move, outbursts later Always tiring out self and tribe, then crashes later

Di/Oi Sleep				Di/Oe Consume				De/Oi Blast				De/Oe Play			
S C/B(P)	SC/P(B)	SB/C(P)	SB/P(C)	C S /B(P)	CS/P(B)	CP/S(B)	C P /B(S)	B S /C(P)	BS/P(C)	BP/S(C)	BP/C(S)	PC/S(B)	PC/B(S)	P B /S(C)	PB/C(S)
Fi/Ni	Fi/Ni	Fi/Ni	Fi/Ni	Fi/Ne	Fi/Ne	Fi/Ne	Fi/Ne	Ni/Fe	Ni/Fe	Ni/Fe	Ni/Fe	Fe/Ne	Fe/Ne	Fe/Ne	Fe/Ne
Fi/Si	Fi/Si	Fi/Si	Fi/Si	Fi/Se	Fi/Se	Fi/Se	Fi/Se	Ni/Te	Ni/Te	Ni/Te	Ni/Te	Fe/Se	Fe/Se	Fe/Se	Fe/Se
Ni/Fi	Ni/Fi	Ni/Fi	Ni/Fi	Ti/Ne	Ti/Ne	Ti/Ne	Ti/Ne	Si/Fe	Si/Fe	Si/Fe	Si/Fe	Ne/Fe	Ne/Fe	Ne/Fe	Ne/Fe
Ni/Ti	Ni/Ti	Ni/Ti	Ni/Ti	Ti/Se	Ti/Se	Ti/Se	Ti/Se	Si/Te	Si/Te	Si/Te	Si/Te	Ne/Te	Ne/Te	Ne/Te	Ne/Te
Si/Fi	Si/Fi	Si/Fi	Si/Fi	Ne/Fi	Ne/Fi	Ne/Fi	Ne/Fi	Fe/Ni	Fe/Ni	Fe/Ni	Fe/Ni	Se/Fe	Se/Fe	Se/Fe	Se/Fe
Si/Ti	Si/Ti	Si/Ti	Si/Ti	Ne/Ti	Ne/Ti	Ne/Ti	Ne/Ti	Fe/Si	Fe/Si	Fe/Si	Fe/Si	Se/Te	Se/Te	Se/Te	Se/Te
Ti/Ni	Ti/Ni	Ti/Ni	Ti/Ni	Se/Fi	Se/Fi	Se/Fi	Se/Fi	Te/Ni	Te/Ni	Te/Ni	Te/Ni	Te/Ne	Te/Ne	Te/Ne	Te/Ne
Ti/Si	Ti/Si	Ti/Si	Ti/Si	Se/Ti	Se/Ti	Se/Ti	Se/Ti	Te/Si	Te/Si	Te/Si	Te/Si	Te/Se	Te/Se	Te/Se	Te/Se

Social hierarchy



Friends: Learn from others, move for others, care for others

Specialize: Focus in on the task, productive in this one area

Responsibility: Ownership, make it happen, no excuses, results

Flexing: Ego, be the best, at the top, identity goals, legendary

How to get typed?

1. Pay trained operators to type you (50-150\$)


Video Typing

Record a minimum 90min of the unedited video. Tell us stories! The worst thing you can do is answer these questions in a reserved, straight forward state. Some questions you won't be able to talk much on and that's fine. But some questions will get you processing outloud, and that's what we are looking for.

- 1) What is your average day/week like? (Wake-up time, school, work, friends, family drama, interests, etc.)
- 2) Tell us a story about what frustrated you this week.
- 3) Tell us about the 3 closest people in your life.
- 4) What is wrong with the government and politics these days?
- 5) Tell us a story about the best and/or worst times in your life.
- 6) What is the biggest challenge in your life right now? (The point here is to get you talking about what you really care about.)


























































52:32











How to get typed?

- 1. Pay trained operators to type you (50-150\$)
- 2. Type yourself

The Joke

90% people type themselves upside-down Our brain is not designed to see its true self

Our brain is not designed to see its true self


- Seeing ourselves objectively is traumatic. Mostly we see ourselves as we want to see ourselves. Getting your type is getting face to face with a delusion monster that was wrecking your life.
- The inner voice that was guiding your whole life is fake. The voice that told you that the government is against you, that someone is cheating on you, that everyone is hiding something from you, that everyone wants to control you, that people judge you, or you are special, and they don't understand. It's fake.
- Not only we can not see objective reality, but we will argue with people who see it differently.
- Most people when they get their typing results freak out about 1-2 coins. It may
 take 1-6 months to finally see and process it.
- They complain that "the video I sent you was not real me. YOU need to watch more videos of me. YOU need to get on a call with me so YOU can see me clearly"

Our brain is not designed to see its true self


Reticular Activation System (RAS) is responsible for perceiving what you are valuing at the moment

- The scientific method is extremely hard, but it's the only way to see the objective reality.
 People who have objective scientific method types jobs are being told they are wrong all the time.
- Most of the people don't play that game and their muscle is weak. They are subjective because it's the most efficient mode for our brain to function, it saves calories.
- People can find a story to support any belief, to back up any view, to support any type and any coin/function.
 - "I'm good at organising because I cleaned my closet".
 - "I'm introverted because I'm tired of spending time with people".
 - "I'm a thinker because I think all day long"
- When we are using one RAS program, there are endless programs that are not used.
 - Are you thinking about buying a new car? Now your brain runs a RAS program that sees the cars everywhere.

We see only some part of the reality **Subjectivism**





Who is right?




Our brain is not designed to see objective reality

 Donald Hoffman: "We don't see objective reality we see only a small part of reality that guides us towards actions that have evolutionary benefits, such as finding food, avoiding predators, and reproducing".






OP describes what part of the reality we see




Objective Personality



What's the goal


Learn how to switch gears when it's time to




Learn how to switch gears when it's time to


- Without making huge mess around
- Smoothly switch gears, quickly back and forth





Stuck on thinking







Don't stick to one gear Learn how to switch gears when it's time to

- When it's time do what you want (Di), do what you want (Di), you are allowed.
- But, when it's time to let go and become part of the mass and follow group-thinking (De), do what others tells you to do (De)
- Quickly switch perspectives between:
 - what I think and what I feel
 - what others value and what works for everyone
- Don't pick a side, don't have your favourite "gear", use all of them, smoothly switch gears
- ... without making a huge mess around



Don't stick to one gear Learn how to switch gears when it's time to

- When it's time to explore, new experiences, new ideas, try something new (Oe), then explore.
- But, when it's time to commit, build a habit, a routine, repeat the same, organise and narrow down, then build something up (Oi), then you do Oi.
- Quickly switch between:
 - Let's plan vs let's just see what happens
 - Open to new information vs conclude
 - What is, what can be
 - Best possible outcome, worst possible outcome
 - Probability of A vs probability of B
- ... without making huge mess around




Learn how to switch gears when it's time to








Oe when it's time to

Oi when it's time to










Happiness Curve



Hero journey

TOWNSFOLK

(Mommy)

Coping mechanisms

Life problems

ROCK BOTTOM

(Death/Repentance)

Default wiring doesn't work



RITE OF PASSAGE

(Forced Alpha)

Let go of default wiring, let go of ego Let go of coping mechanisms

Develop immune system to darkness

Hero journey



Unconscious Irresponsible (I just want to be

happy!) Uses only first two saviours.



Back to coping mechanisms. Drugs, work, sex, medicines, alcohol, cigarets. "This time will be fine."

Life problems **Conscious/Responsible**


Working backwards from what needs to be done (objective goals). Uses all

function whenever needed

Life problems

"I've been there and don't want to go back"

Avoid Subjective Pain I'm not happy because I'm not getting my way.







Avoid Objective Pain Gives up on demanding reality to work with their saviour functions. "I'm not happy because I didn't move."

Fixed midset

Existential crisis, depression, meaninglessness, anxiety, positive disintegration. "I can't go back, I don't want to play that game anymore". No coping mechanisms. "I have to change my life."

Growth mindset

Depression

Depression and anxiety are caused by the unpredictability

- We are running a program of our default functions, a worldview that describes reality.
- We used to use worldviews imposed by religions, but now in the era of individualism
 it's gone—we have to develop replacements for religions and that's hard.
- The less your worldview is consistent with reality,
 - the less predictability you get,
 - The **fewer results** you have,
 - The more frustrated, triggered and stuck you are, the more you blame others,
 - The more anxiety, and depression.

- The **more** your worldview is consistent with reality
 - the more you get predictability, you see "the puzzle" clearly,
 - You are able to navigate and figure out, the better results you have,
 - the lower the level of anxiety and depression, because you know what is going on,
 - You know what is coming next. And you feel confident in approaching reality. You know the rules. You know the meta. You are safe. You are not afraid or fearful.


If your **anxiety and depression are high** that's a sign to **update your worldview** to be more consistent with reality and so predictable.

Disorders


Interesting patterns Disorders

- Deciders + Di = Social anxiety
- Deciders + De = Bipolar
- Observers + Oi = OCD
- Observers + Oe = ADD

Problem of disorders ADHD




Problem of disorders oco



Problem of disorders

Narcissism



Other interesting patters

- The structure of emails, type of presentations, bullet points, number of images ...
- Sense of humour
- Intuitive leads = Dyslexia
- Mx ISxJ = Autism
- Decider + Ti + #1 = narcissist
- xF + Fi = depressive states
- (S) = Insomnia/ADHD
- FM = synesthesia
- Makeup industry = Se, SF
- Red hair = Ne/Fi, Fi/Se Te/Ne
- #1 = deep voice
- Gay/Trans (Male) = FF Fe/Ne or FF Ni/Fe
- Gay/Trans (Female) = MM Se/Ti or MM Ti/Se or MF Ne/Fi

Applications

Self-growth

- Quickly recognise triggers (DD, OO)
- See how most people of your exact type messed up their lives.
- Career path suggestion (people of your type excel in ...)
- What is your "flat tire", what is slowing you down?
- Get inspired by other people of the same type
- Relationships. Knowing your partner's math helps. OP gives you a common language.
- Help to deal with depression, death, anxiety, fear, existentialism, and meaninglessness, without religion, developing the immune system, the sooner the better.
- Where can we trust other people and where not? Observers see people clearly, and Deciders see things clearly.

• Science:

- A better understanding of reality by better understanding the device we are looking through (human mind)
- Early diagnosis for kids (autism, ADD, etc.)
- Forensics/negotiations
 - People of the same type, commit similar crimes
 - Knowing what the other side is going to say gives a powerful defense and offense weapon

How to get typed?

- 1. Pay trained operators to type you (50-150\$)
- 2. Type yourself
- 3. AI?

Resources

- https://www.objectivepersonality.com
- http://ops512.com
- https://app.subjectivepersonality.com/search
- https://opdex.app/
- https://airtable.com/appudq0aG1uwqIFX5/shrQ6loDtlXpzmC1l/ tblyUDDV5zVyuX5VL/viweXFJuHAQpi5as3

Questions?

Stanisław Barański stanislaw.baranski@pg.edu.pl https://stan.bar